

## Federal Communications Commission Washington, D.C. 20554

MAR 1 3 1998

The Honorable Mitch J. McConnell, Jr. United States Senate 361A Russell Senate Office Building Washington, D.C. 20510

Dear Senator McConnell:

Thank you for your letter dated December 18, 1997, on behalf of your constituents, Greg Mead of Paintsville, Kentucky and Dr. Richard Wham of Henderson, Kentucky, concerning the placement and construction of facilities for the provision of personal wireless services and radio and television broadcast services in their respective communities. Your constituents' letters refer to issues being considered in three proceedings that are pending before the Commission. In MM Docket No. 97-182, the Commission has sought comments on a Petition for Further Notice of Proposed Rule Making filed by the National Association for Broadcasters and the Association for Maximum Service Television. In this proceeding, the petitioners ask the Commission to adopt a rule limiting the exercise of State and local zoning authority with respect to broadcast transmission facilities in order to facilitate the rapid build-out of digital television facilities, as required by the Commission's rules to fulfill Congress' mandate. In WT Docket No. 97-192, the Commission has sought comment on proposed procedures for reviewing requests for relief from State and local regulations that are alleged to impermissibly regulate the siting of personal wireless service facilities based on the environmental effects of radio frequency emissions, and related matters. Finally, in DA 96-2140 and FCC 97-264, the Commission twice sought comments on a Petition for Declaratory Ruling filed by the Cellular Telecommunications Industry Association seeking relief from certain State and local moratoria that have been imposed on the siting of commercial mobile radio service facilities.

Because all of these proceedings are still pending, we cannot comment on the merits of the issues at this time. However, I can assure you that the Commission is committed to providing a full opportunity for all interested parties to participate. The Commission has formally sought public comment in all three proceedings and, as a result, has received numerous comments from State and local governments, service providers, and the public at large. Your letter, your constituents' letters, as well as this response, will be placed in the record of all three proceedings and will be given full consideration.

At the same time, the Commission is actively pursuing initiatives that we hope will render any Commission action limiting State and local authority unnecessary. Commission staff, working with the Commission's Local and State Government Advisory Committee, is bringing together representatives of industry and municipal governments to discuss mutually acceptable solutions to the challenges posed by facilities siting. Chairman Kennard has stated that preemption of local zoning authority should be a remedy of last resort, and that the Commission should not consider preemption until the possibilities for constructive dialogue have been exhausted.

Further information regarding the Commission's policies toward personal wireless service facilities siting, including many of the comments in the two proceedings involving personal wireless service facilities, is available on the Commission's internet site at http://www.fcc.gov/wtb/siting.

Thank you for your inquiry.

Sincerely,

At Steven E. Weingarten

sear chaly

Acting Chief, Commercial Wireless Division Wireless Telecommunications Bureau

PAU110VII	LE-PRESTONSBURG AIRPORT
	US 23
	PO BOX 388
PAINTSVILLE, KY 41240-0\$\$ 000 789-5383	
October 13, 1997	Dieter
Federal Communications Commission	
Washington, DC 20554	
Re: Proposed Rule Making On Tower	8
Dear Sire:	
This letter is in response to the 'Notice	e of Pronoged Bule Making on Toward's that is presently
	e of Proposed Rule Making on Towers" that is presently is proposed exempts future construction of antenna towers
before the FCC. As you are aware, the from state and local zoning.  We feel that there should be input on the	is proposal exempts fixure construction of antenna towers  be local level to protect the airspace around general
before the FCC. As you are aware, the from state and local zoning.  We feel that there should be input on the aviation airports. Without local input,	is proposal exempts figure construction of antenna towers
before the FCC. As you are aware, the from state and local zoning.  We feel that there should be input on the aviation sirports. Without local input, of traffic in and around the DTV tower installation.	te local level to protect the airspace around general public safety could be affected by the ingress/egress
before the FCC. As you are aware, the from state and local zoning.  We feel that there should be input on the avistion airports. Without local input, of traffic in and around the DTV tower installation.  We would appreciate your review of the state of	is proposal exempts figure construction of antenna towers the local level to protect the airspace around general public safety could be affected by the ingress/egress a in question or any other structural or antenna
before the FCC. As you are aware, the from state and local zoning.  We feel that there should be input on the aviation sirports. Without local input, of traffic in and around the DTV tower installation.	is proposal exempts figure construction of antenna towers the local level to protect the airspace around general public safety could be affected by the ingress/egress a in question or any other structural or antenna
before the FCC. As you are aware, the from state and local zoning.  We feel that there should be input on the aviation airports. Without local input, of traffic in and around the DTV tower installation.  We would appreciate your review of the Very truly yours.  Greg Meade, Chairman	is proposal exempts figure construction of antenna towers the local level to protect the airspace around general public safety could be affected by the ingress/egress a in question or any other structural or antenna
before the FCC. As you are aware, the from state and local zoning.  We feel that there should be input on the aviation airports. Without local input, of traffic in and around the DTV tower installation.  We would appreciate your review of the Very truly yours.	is proposal exempts figure construction of antenna towers the local level to protect the airspace around general public safety could be affected by the ingress/egress a in question or any other structural or antenna

Author: Lani Gerst at McConnell-DC

Date: 10/7/97\_ 8:39 AM

Priority: Normal TO: Allison Hiltz TO: Scott O'Malia

Subject: Proposed FCC rule: conflict of TV Towers and Airport Safety

----- Message Contents

226/16/1

222222

HENDERSON CITY-COUNTY AIRBOARD HENDERSON, KENTUCKY

October 6, 1997

"FCC Docket"97-298; Room 239 Proceed NW Washington, D.C. 20037

RE: NPRM FCC-97-296 (Page references. Becament - FCC-97-296)

Dear Sirs:

The Henderson City - County Airboard wishes to go on record as strongly opposed to this NPRM because it has the potential to severely compromise the safety of airport operations, aircraft, and passengers in the United States.

Please notice item (2), page 18. Imm sure the proponents of this Preemption Rule will point out this item as insuring that safety will be considered in the granting a permit. However, it appears to me that what this Rule would do is to shift the burden to local and state regulatory agencies of proving something was unsafe, rather than the present situation where the burden is on the party requesting an exemption to the rule and having to prove that the request would not impair safety.

If this proposed rule is implemented it has the potential of severely impacting the safety of the airspace both in the immediate environs of airports and along federal navigation airways.

Thank you for taking the time to consider these points.

Richard A. Wham, M.D. Airboard Chairman 2932 Holloway Lane Henderson, KY 42420 (502) 826-8567

CC: U.S. Senators Wendell Ford and Mitch McConnell U.S. Representative Ed Whitfield

COMMITTEES.
ACRICULTURE
APPROPRIATIONS
JOINT COMMITTEE ON PRINTING
LABOR & HUMAN RESOURCES
RULES

United States Senate

WASHINGTON, DC 20519-1702 (202) 224-2541

W18 7 72

December 18, 1997

Ms. Karen Kornbluh
Director, Legislative/Intergovernmental Affairs
Federal Communications Commission
1919 M Street
Washington, D.C. 20554

Dear Ms. Kornbluh:

I am writing on behalf of my constituents, Greg Meade of Paintsville and Dr. Richard Wham of Henderson.

Both gentlemen are concerned with a Federal Communications Commission proposal that exempts the construction of antenna towers from state and local zoning. I have enclosed copies of their letters to me and would appreciate a review of their concerns. Any information you may be able to provide would be very helpful.

Thank you for your time and attention to this matter.

Sincerely,

MITCH McCONNELL

UNITED STATES SENATOR

MM/lmg

Enclosure